

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

ddress: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/780.878 01/09/97 HIRSCHMAN R P/410-63 **EXAMINER** MM42/0914 OSTROLENK FABER GERB & SOFFEN DANG, H 1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER NEW YORK NY 10036 2873 DATE MAILED: 09/14/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	08/780,878	Hirchman Mal
	Examiner Dan	Group Art Unit 28 23
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet t	beneath the correspondence address
Period for Response		1
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	SET TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) da If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response w 	ys, a response within the statut default, expire SIX (6) MONTH	tory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely S from the mailing date of this communication .
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3 / 1	1/99	
☐ This action is FINAL.	1	
Since this application is in condition for allowance excellent accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1	pt for formal matters, pros 935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 21	secution as to the merits is closed in 3.
Disposition of Claims		
X) Claim(s)		is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
© Claim(s)		is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————		is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)		
☐ Claim(s)		are subject to restriction or election
Application Papers		requirement.
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav	ving Review, PTO-948.	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved	☐ disapproved.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob	jected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	:	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number of the CERTIFIED copies 	of the priority documents	have been
☐ received in this national stage application from the		
*Certified copies not received:		
Attachment(s)	. N. (-)	Naturalism Summon, DTO 442
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pape	, ,	Interview Summary, PTO-413
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892		Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-15
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO		Other

Serial Number: 08/780,878 Page 2

Art Unit: 2873

1. This application is objected to under 37 CFR 1.172(a) as lacking the written consent of all assignees owning an undivided interest in the patent. The consent of the assignee must be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.172. See MPEP § 1410.01.

A proper assent of the assignee in compliance with 37 CFR 1.172 and 3.73 is required in reply to this Office action.

Drawings

2. There are no changes being made to the patent drawings.

Applicant should be submitted a letter requesting that the patent drawings be transferred to the reissue application pursuant to 37 CFR 1.174. See MPEP 1413.

Quayle

3. This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

See above.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

Serial Number: 08/780,878 Page 3

Art Unit: 2873

Reasons For Allowance

4. The following is an Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance:

the prior art taken either singly or in combination fails to anticipate or fairly suggest that which the Applicant claims in claims 1, 5, 20, 23, 27 and 28 in a manner which would warrant a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102 or 35 U.S.C. § 103.

There was no prior art found by the examiner that suggested modification or combination with the cited prior art so as to satisfy the combination of the present independent claim 27; especially, the prior art does not provide a detail and structural interrelationship between the temple and the side shield as recited by claim 27.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Dang at telephone number (703) 308-0550.

9/99

HUNG DANG

PRIMARY EXAMINER

TC 2800